Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate¹

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate functions as an occlusive skinconditioning agent and as a nonaqueous viscosity-increasing agent in many cosmetic formulations. Current concentrations of use are between 0.7% and 23%, although historically higher concentrations were used. The chemical is formed by a high-temperature. acid-catalyzed esterification reaction of long-chain alcohols (primarily C-20) and a mixture of primarily C-18 fatty acids. Levels of stearic acid, octyldodecanol, and octylydocecyl hydroxystearate in the final product are 5% or less—no other residual compounds are reported. Only limited safety test data were available on Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, but previous safety assessments of longchain alcohols and fatty acids found these precursors to be safe for use in cosmetic formulations. Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate produced no adverse effects in acute exposures in rats. The chemical was mostly nonirritating to animal skin at concentrations ranging from 7.5% to 10%; one study did find moderate irritation in rabbit skin at a concentration of 7.5%. Clinical tests at a concentration of 10.4% confirmed the absence of significant irritation in humans. An ocular toxicity study in rabbits found no toxicity. No evidence of genotoxicity was found in either a mammalian test system or in the Ames test system, with or without metabolic activation. The available data on Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate and the previously considered data on long-chain alcohols and fatty acids, however, did not provide a sufficient basis to make a determination of safety. Additional data needs include (1) chemical properties, including the octanol/water partition coefficient; and (2) if there is significant dermal absorption or if significant quantities of the ingredient may contact mucous membranes or be ingested, then reproductive and developmental toxicity data may be needed. Until such time as these data are received, the available data do not support the safety of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate as used in cosmetic formulations.

INTRODUCTION

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is an ester that functions as a skin-conditioning agent—occlusive and a viscosity-increasing agent—nonaqueous in cosmetic product formulations. Only limited data on Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were found. The safety of the following related ingredients has been reviewed, with the conclusions listed below:

Stearyl Alcohol, Oleyl Alcohol, and Octyl Dodecanol are safe as currently used in cosmetics (Elder 1985a).

Oleic Acid, Lauric Acid, Palmitic Acid, Myristic Acid, and

Stearic Acid are safe in the present practices of use and concentration in cosmetics (Elder 1987).

Butyl, Cetyl, Isobutyl, Isocetyl, Isopropyl, Myristyl and Octyl, <u>Stearate</u> are safe as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use (Elder 1985b).

Pertinent data from these reports have been added to this review (*italicized text*) as a further basis for the assessment of safety of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate.

CHEMISTRY

Definition and Structure

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate (CAS No. 90052-75-8) is an ester that conforms generally to the formula presented in Figure 1. Synonyms for Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate include Octadecanoic Acid, 12-[(1-Oxooctadecyl)Oxy]-, 2-Octyldodecyl Ester; Octadecanoic Acid, 12-[(1-Oxooctadecyl)Oxy]-2-Octyldodecyl Ester; and 12-[(1-Oxooctadecyl)Oxy]Octadecanoic Acid, 2-Octyldodecyl Ester (Wenninger, Canterbery, and McEwen 2000).

Related Ingredients

Octyl Dodecanol is the long-chain saturated fatty alcohol that conforms to the structure presented in Figure 2 (Elder 1985a).

Stearic Acid is found primarily as a glyceride in animal fats and oils; lard and tallow contain approximately 10% and 20% Stearic Acid, respectively. Most vegetable oils contain 1% to 5% Stearic Acid; cocoa butter contains approximately 35%. Cosmetic grade Stearic Acid occurs as a mixture of fatty acids, depending on the method of manufacture and source. Commercial Stearic Acid is primarily a mixture of varying amounts of Stearic and Palmitic Acids. Components of Stearic Acid are octadecanoic acid (39% to 95%), hexadecanoic acid (5% to 50%), tetradecanoic acid (0% to 3%), 9-octadecenoic acid (0% to 5%), heptadecanoic acid (0% to 2.5%), eicosanoic acid (0% to 2%), and pentadecanoic acid (0% to 1%). Butylated hydroxytoluene can be added to preparations containing fatty acids as an antioxidant at concentrations of 0.01% to 0.1% for unsaturated materials (Elder 1987).

Received 15 May 2001; accepted 12 July 2001.

¹Reviewed by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review Expert Panel. Rebecca S. Lanigan, former Scientific Analyst and Writer, prepared this report. Address correspondence to Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1101 17th Street, NW, Suite 310, Washington, DC 20036, USA.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_{3}(\text{CH}_{2})_{5}\text{CH}(\text{CH}_{2})_{10}\text{C} - \text{OCH}_{2}\text{CH}(\text{CH}_{2})_{9}\text{CH}_{3} \\ \text{CH}_{3}(\text{CH}_{2})_{16}\text{C} - \text{O} \\ \text{II} \\ \text{O} \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate.

The Stearates are esters of stearic acid; Octyl Stearate conforms generally to the formula in Figure 3 (Elder 1985b).

Chemical and Physical Properties

The physical form of Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, as the trade compound, occurs as an amber, yellow liquid with a mild, characteristic odor. Its specifications include saponification number of 115.0 to 135.0; specific gravity (25°C) range 0.86 to 0.88; and a refractive index (25°C) of 1.45 to 1.47 (ISP Van Dyke, Inc. 1997).

Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is soluble in silicones; esters; mineral oil; vegetable oils; alcohols; aliphatic, aromatic, and chlorinated hydrocarbons; and is insoluble in water. It has a theoretical molecular weight of 846 Da, a freezing point of -15° C, and a flash point of over 180°C (Alzo, Inc. 1998). Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is partly soluble in 95% ethanol, propylene glycol, glycerine, 70% sorbitol, and PEG 400 (Trivent Chemical Company, Inc. 1998).

Related Ingredients

Stearic Acid occurs as a hard, white or faintly yellow, glossy crystals or leaflets or as an amorphous white or yellow-white powder. It has a slight odor and tallow-like flavor. Stearic Acid is water insoluble, slightly soluble in alcohol and benzene, soluble in chloroform, and very soluble in ether. The molecular weight is ~284.5 Da (Elder 1987).

Octyl Stearate and the other Stearates are either oily liquids or waxy solids that typically are soluble in organic solvents such as chloroform and acetone. The molecular weight of Octyl Stearate is 396 Da, the ester value is 144 to 154, the acid value and iodine value each have a maximum of 1.0. The Stearates can undergo conversion into stearic acid and the corresponding alcohol by chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis, conversion into amides by ammonolysis, and conversion into different esters by alcoholysis or transesterification. Purer grades

FIGURE 2 Octyl Dodecanol.

FIGURE 3 Octyl Stearate.

of the saturated Stearates are not expected to autoxidize readily (Elder 1985b).

Method of Manufacture

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is manufactured by an inorganic acid catalyzed, high temperature (150°C to 160°C) esterification reaction of guerbet alcohol. Guerbet alcohol is comprised of a mixture of guerbet alcohols (primarily C-20) and no other impurity and a mixture of fatty acids (primarily C-18) and no other impurities. The product is neutralized to a watersoluble soap, washed to purity, dried, and filtered (Alzo, Inc. 1998).

Related Ingredients

Octyl Dodecanol is produced by the condensation of two molecules of decyl alcohol, and occurs naturally in small quantities as components of wax esters in plants (Elder 1985a).

Methods of processing Stearic Acid include hydrolysis of tallow or hydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids in cottonseed and other vegetable oils, followed by fractional distillation or crystallization. Concentrations of Stearic Acid as great as 95% to 99% have been reported from the hydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids (Elder 1987).

The Stearates are prepared by esterification of stearic acid with the appropriate alcohol in the presence of an acid catalyst. The reaction products are refined either by catalyst neutralization, vacuum distillation, or various decolorization-deoderization techniques to remove residual traces of alcohol (Elder 1985b).

Impurities

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is composed of Stearic Acid (2.5% maximum), Octyldodecanol (5.0% maximum), Octyldodecyl Hydroxystearate (5.0% maximum), and Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate (88.0% maximum) (Alzo, Inc. 1998).

Related Ingredients

Stearic Acid contains varying amounts of unsaponifiable matter (0.3% maximum), and can contain glyceryl monostearate (0.07% maximum). Typical impurities are glyceryl monomyristate (0.07% maximum), 9-hexadecanoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (Elder 1987).

USE

Cosmetic

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate functions as a skin-conditioning agent—occlusive and viscosity increasing agent—nonaqueous in cosmetic product formulations (Wenninger, Canterbery, and McEwen 2000).

In 1998, industry reported to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was used in 86 cosmetic formulations (FDA 1998). Table 1 gives the number of formulations in each cosmetic product category containing Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, along with the total number of formulations in each category. Concentration of use data provided by industry, ranging from a low of 0.7% in makeup preparations to 20% in lipstick, are also included in Table 1. Table 2 gives the historical (FDA 1984) concentration and frequency of use of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. For comparison purposes, historical concentration and frequency of use data for Stearic Acid and Octyl Stearate, as reported to FDA in 1984, are included in Table 2.

International

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is listed in the Japanese Comprehensive Licensing Standards of Cosmetics by Category (CLS) (Santucci 1999). Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate has precedent for use without restriction in all CLS categories. According to Notification 990 of the Pharmaceutical and Medical Safety Bureau of the Japan Ministry of Health and Welfare, issued September 29, 2000, Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is not prohibited or restricted in its use beyond a basic obligation of manufacturers to use all ingredients in a manner which guarantees safety (Japan Ministry of Health and Welfare 2000).

GENERAL BIOLOGY

No data on absorption, distribution, metabolism, or excretion of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were available.

Related Ingredients

Stearic Acid and other fatty acids are digested from the diet, absorbed in micellar aggregates, and transported after

TABLE 1
Product formulation data

Product category (total formulations in category) (FDA 1998)	Total no. of formulations containing Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate (FDA 1998)	Current concentration of use (CTFA 1998a, 1998c, 1999) % —		
Bubble baths (200)	1			
Eyebrow pencil (91)	2	0.8		
Eyeliner (514)	1	4-12		
Eye shadow (506)	20	11.7		
Other eye makeup preparations (120)	2	5		
Powders (fragrance) (247)	2	3-3.4		
Other fragrance preparations (148)	1	4-4.3		
Hair tints	_	0.8		
Blushers (all types) (238)	5	2-7.4		
Face powders (250)	29	7		
Foundations (287)	5	5-6.3		
Lipstick (790)	1	4-20		
Makeup bases (132)	4	_		
Rouges (12)	1	_		
Other makeup preparations	_	0.7-15		
Cuticle softeners (19)	1	21		
Nail creams and lotions (17)	1	_		
Shaving cream	_	3		
Cleansing preparations (653)	2	_		
Face and neck (excluding shaving) (263)	1	_		
Moisturizing preparations (769)	3	_		
Night preparations (188)	1	_		
Paste masks (mud packs) (255)	1	_		
Other skin care preparations (692)	2	_		
1998 total for Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate	86			

			1		`			
Ingredient	Concentration of use (%)							
	≤ 0.1	>0.1-1	>1-5	>5-10	>10-25	>25-50	>50	1984 Total
Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate	2	2	7		9			20
Octyl Dodecanol	4	23	60	195	70	18	1	371
Stearic Acid	6	231	1826	231	148	22	1	2465
Octyl Stearate		7	2		1			10

TABLE 2
Historical concentrations and frequencies of use (FDA 1984)

esterification to glycerol in chylomicrons and very-low-density lipoproteins. Stearic Acid is primarily transported via the lymph system. Fatty acids originating from adipose tissue stores are either bound to serum albumin or remain unesterified in the blood. Stearic Acid was the most poorly absorbed of the common fatty acids: the digestibility of fatty acids decreased with increased fatty acid chain length. Radioactivity has been traced to the heart, liver, lungs, spleen, kidneys, muscles, intestines, adrenal glands, blood, and lymph, and to adipose, mucosal, and dental tissues after administration of radioactive Stearic Acid to rats. dogs, sheep, chicks, frogs, and humans. Uptake and transport of fatty acids into the brain has been observed, and free fatty acids readily cross the placental barrier in rabbits, guinea pigs, rats. and humans. Fatty acids that are taken up by tissues are either stored in the form of triglycerides or oxidized for energy (Elder 1987).

ANIMAL TOXICOLOGY

Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, tested as a trade compound at an oral dose of 5.0 mg/kg in 10 rats (5 of each sex) for 14 days, produced no deaths (Wells Laboratories, Inc. 1993). Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, tested as a trade compound, had an oral LD50 of >20 g/kg in albino rats (Food and Drug Research Labs, Inc. 1983). No additional animal toxicology data were available. No data on the carcinogenicity and reproductive and developmental toxicity of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were available.

Related Ingredients

No deaths were observed during acute oral toxicity studies when 5 to 10 rats were treated with 5 g/kg of undiluted Octyl Dodecanol or with a lipstick (25 g/kg, diluted to 50%) containing 10.2% Octyl Dodecanol (1.28 g/kg total dose). In an acute dermal toxicity study, intact and abraded skin sites of six guinea pigs were treated with 3.0 g/kg of the undiluted alcohol under occlusive patches. No deaths occurred as a result of treatment, and no gross lesions were observed at necropsy (Elder 1985a).

Little acute toxicity was observed when Stearic Acid or cosmetic formulations containing Stearic Acid at concentrations up to 13% were given orally to rats at doses of 15 to 19 g/kg. In subchronic oral studies, Stearic Acid (5% to 50%) caused throm-

bosis, aortic atherosclerosis, anorexia, and mortality in rats. Chicks fed 5% Stearic Acid had no signs of toxicity. Topical applications of 5 g/kg Stearic Acid to rabbits did not cause adverse effects. Intradermal administration of 10 to 100 mM Stearic Acid caused mild erythema and slight induration in guinea pigs and rabbits (Elder 1987).

Octyl Stearate generally had "very low" acute oral toxicity in rats and mice. Undiluted Octyl Stearate at a dose of 8 ml/kg did not cause deaths in five rats per sex. Body weight gain averaged 25.7% during the 2-week observation period (Elder 1985b).

Ocular Irritation

An EYETEX in vitro irritation assay was performed on a nail cuticle pencil containing 20.6% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. The test material produced scores consistent with minimal to mild irritation (CTFA 1998b).

An undiluted eyeliner containing 7.5% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was applied three times to the unrinsed eyes of six rabbits. One rabbit had conjunctival scores of 6, 2, and 2 on days 1, 3, and 4, respectively, and a corneal score of 5 on day 1. Another had a conjunctival score of 4 on day 1. None of the rabbits had signs of ocular toxicity on days 2 or 7. The total Draize scores were 4/110 on day 1 and 1/110 on days 3 and 4. The investigators concluded that the eyeshadow was moderately irritating under the conditions of this study.

A concealer containing 12.7% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was applied once to the unrinsed eyes of six rabbits. One rabbit each had conjunctival scores of 2 on days 1 and 2. None of the rabbits had signs of irritancy on days 3, and 4, or 7. The total Draize scores were 1/110 on days 1 and 2 and the formulation was classified as mildly irritating.

In a third study, none of the six rabbits had signs of ocular irritation after treatment with a lipstick containing 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. The lipstick was classified as nonirritating (CTFA 1998b).

Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, tested as a trade compound, was instilled (0.1 ml) into the right conjunctival sac of six rabbits. The contralateral eye served as the control. Eyes were not rinsed. Reactions were scored on days 1 to 4, and 7 according to the Draize scale. No reactions were observed (Consumer Product Testing 1978).

A single application of 0.1 ml of Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, at a 10% w/w dilution in corn oil, was instilled into one eye each of six rabbits. The contralateral eye served as the control and the eyes were not rinsed. Reactions were scored on days 1 to 3, and 4 and 7 if irritation persisted. No reactions were observed (Wells Laboratories, Inc. 1993).

Related Ingredients

Six rabbits were treated with 100% Octyl Dodecanol. The average ocular irritation scores were 4/110 at day 1 and 0/110 by day 4. In a second study using the same procedure, the scores were 1/110 at day 1 and 0/110 by day 4. Cosmetic formulations containing 3% to 10.2% Octyl Dodecanol caused either no ocular irritation or minimal, transient irritation in the eyes of rabbits (Elder 1985a).

Undiluted Octyl Stearate caused slight, transient ocular irritation in rabbits (Elder 1985b).

Skin Irritation and Sensitization

An undiluted eyeshadow containing 7.5% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was moderately irritating to the skin in a single insult occlusive patch test using nine rabbits. Erythema and edema were observed at 2 and 24 hours after application of the test material. The primary irritation index (PII) for the group was 3.89/8.00.

In a similar study, a concealer containing 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was tested using nine rabbits. The skin sites were evaluated 2 and 24 hours after application of the test material. No signs of irritancy were observed at 24 hours, but erythema was observed at 2 hours. The PII for the group was 0.67/8.00, and the formulation was classified as minimally irritating.

A lipstick containing 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was tested similarly using nine rabbits. None of the animals had erythema or edema 2 or 24 hours after application of the test material, and the PII was 0.00/8.00. In a 4-day cumulative study, the lipstick was "essentially non-irritating" (CTFA 1998b).

Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, tested as a trade compound, was applied (0.5 ml) under a 24-hour occlusive patch to abraded and intact sites on the backs of six rabbits. Sites were examined for erythema and edema at 24 and 72 hours. The maximum possible score was 8. The PII for Octydodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was 0.38. It was considered to have a "potential for slight irritation—rarely irritating to people" (Consumer Product Testing 1978).

A single dermal application of 0.5 ml of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, at a 10% w/w dilution in corn oil, was applied to one abraded and one intact site on six New Zealand white rabbits. Each test site was observed for erythema and edema 24 and 72 hours after application. The PII for this product was 0.0 (Wells Laboratories, Inc. 1993).

In a rabbit dermal irritation test Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate had a PII of 0.38. No additional details were available (International Specialty Products 1998).

Related Ingredients

Octyl Dodecanol was applied for 24 hours under occlusive patches to the skin of six rabbits during three studies. The irritation scores for a concentration of 100% were 1.13/4, 0.5/4, and 0/4. At a concentration of 30%, it produced scores of 0/4 for all three studies.

Techical grade Octvl Dodecanol (0.1 to 0.5 g) caused severe irritation (+++) to the skin of six albino rabbits and moderate irritation (++) to the skin of six Hartley guinea pigs and six Wistar rats. The compound was nonirritating (-) when applied to the skin of six Pitman-Moore miniature swine. For the study using rabbits, 0.1 g Octyl Dodecanol was applied for 24 hours, the skin sites were graded, and the compound was reapplied for another 24 hours. The treated skin sites were graded after Evans blue solution was injected intravenously. The rabbits were killed 1 hour later, and skin samples were prepared. For the guinea pig study, two dorsal areas were clipped free of hair. One site was treated with 0.1 g for 24 hours and the other was left untreated. The remainder of the study was identical to the one using rabbits. For the swine, the dorsal area was clipped free of hair, and 0.5 g Octyl Dodecanol was applied under occlusive patches for 48 hours. Skin of the rabbits, guinea pigs, and rats had acanthosis, hyperkeratinization, swelling of cells, and proliferation of basal cells. Vasodilatation, edema, alteration of collagenous fibers, and mononuclear and polymorphonuclear leukocytes infiltration of the dermis were also observed.

A formulation containing 4% Octyl Dodecanol was nonirritating to mildly irritating (0/4 to 1.08/4) during primary skin irritation studies. Minimal to mild irritation was observed when a formulation containing 10.2% of the alcohol was applied to the skin of rabbits for 3 to 4 consecutive days. In these studies, the degree of irritation observed did not increase with the concentration tested (Elder 1985a).

No irritation was observed when Stearic Acid was applied (18 mmol %) to the skin of the external ear canals of albino rabbits over a period of 6 weeks. Slight local edema was observed when New Zealand white rabbits were treated with 2% Stearic Acid informulation for 4 weeks. During a 13-week study, cosmetic formulations containing up to 5% Stearic Acid caused moderate skin irritation in rats (4.0 mg/kg, 227 mg/kg). In single-insult patch tests, 35% to 65% Stearic Acid caused no to moderate erythema and slight, if any, edema to the skin of rabbits. In maximization studies, 1% Stearic Acid caused weak reactions at challenge, and was considered a grade 1 sensitizer. Stearic Acid at a concentration of 2.8% did not cause photosensitization in guinea pigs (Elder 1987).

Undiluted Octyl Stearate produced at most minimal or moderate skin irritation in rabbits. Application of the stearate to the skin of rabbits caused irritation after 60 days of treatment; vesicles and slight epidermal exfoliation were observed, and Octyl Stearate was considered "poorly tolerated." Microscopic changes in the treated skin included epidermal acanthosis and "congestive" dermatitis. Application of 10% aqueous Octyl Stearate daily for 60 days caused irritation (vesicles) in the skin

of rabbits, but was "relatively well tolerated." No significant lesions were observed at microscopic examination of the treated skin (Elder 1985b).

cinomas, sarcomas, and lymphomas. Mice fed up to 50 g/kg/day Stearic Acid did not develop neoplasms (Elder 1987).

GENOTOXICITY

A micronucleated polychromatic erythrocyte (MPCE) assay was used to determine the genotoxicity of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate tested under a trade name. CD-1 mice (5/sex/group) were gavaged with a single dose of 2.0, 5.0, or 10.0 ml/kg Octvldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. One negative-control group was designated for each of the three runs of each treatment group. One group was designated as the positive control. Five male and five female mice were killed from each dose and vehicle control at 24, 48, and 72 hours after the initiation of treatment. Five mice from each sex were killed from the positive-control group 24 hours after treatment. The positive control depressed the polychromatic erythrocyte/normochromatic erythrocyte (PCE/NCE) ratio and showed a statistically significant increase in MPCEs. The mean numbers of MPCEs in 1000 PCEs were 58.8 and 65.2 for the male and female mice, respectively, which fulfilled the criteria for a valid assay. No significant increases occurred in the proportion of MPCEs in the test groups compared to the concurrent negative-control groups (Sitek Research Laboratories 1994a).

A Salmonella typhimurium gene mutation assay was used to evaluate Octvldodecvl Stearovl Stearate for its ability to induce mutations in strains TA98, TA100, TA1535, TA1537, and TA1538. Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was dosed at concentrations of 1.0, 5.0, 10.0, 50.0, and 100.0 μ l/plate. The positive controls and Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were tested with and without S-9 activation. All test concentrations, including the positive and negative controls, were tested in triplicate and a confirmation assay was performed. Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate and control treatments were performed under ultraviolet (UV)filtered lights to avoid photoinactivation. Positive controls were considered acceptable because the treated strains had reversion frequencies three times or greater than the mean reversion frequency of the solvent control plates in all positive-control cultures with and without S-9 activation. Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate did not induce any positive increase in the number of revertant colonies for any of the tester strains with or without S-9 activation (Sitek Research Laboratories 1994b).

Related Ingredients

Stearic Acid did not induce an increase of mitotic crossovers during in vitro mutagenicity assays. It was inactive during aneuploidy induction tests, and was nonmutagenic in the Ames test (Elder 1987).

CARCINOGENICITY

No data on the carcinogenicity of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were available.

Related Ingredients

Mice that received single or repeated subcutaneous (SC) injections of Stearic Acid (up to 82 mg) had low incidences of car-

CLINICAL ASSESSMENT OF SAFETY

The human irritancy potential of an eyeshadow pencil having 10.4% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was evaluated in a single-insult patch test using 19 subjects. The PII was 0/8, and no differences in irritancy were observed between subjects of the test and control groups.

A concealer containing 5.0% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was tested for primary irritation using 20 subjects. The PII was 0.08, and no significant differences in irritancy were observed between test subjects and controls. A lipstick having 15.0% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was similarly tested using 18 subjects. The PII was 0.00/8.00, and no differences in irritancy were observed between groups (CTFA 1998b).

Thirteen volunteers, 10 of whom completed the study, were used in a cumulative irritation study of an eyeshadow having 10.4% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. The test material was applied to the skin of the back 21 times for 23-hour intervals. Scoring for cumulative irritation and reapplication of the eyeshadow occurred every 24 hours. The test sites were covered with closed Parke-Davis patches with Webril. The total score was 1/630, and the eyeshadow was classified as a mild irritant (Hill Top Research 1983a).

The same eyeshadow (10.4%) was evaluated in a repeat-insult patch test using 107 subjects. Applications were made three times weekly during the 22-day induction period. The test material was applied for 24 hours to the skin of the back under a closed patch, and the skin sites were scored 48 or 72 hours after application. Challenge applications were made using 24-hour occlusive patches. No evidence of contact sensitization was observed in any of the test subjects (Hill Top Research 1983b).

A lipstick containing 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was tested in a repeat-insult patch test using 87 panelists, 85 of whom completed the study. Occlusive patches containing the test materials were applied to the skin of the upper back for 24 hours, three times weekly, for 3 weeks. Challenge applications were made 3 weeks after the last induction treatment, and the skin sites were scored 24 and 48 hours after patch removal. None of the subjects had erythematous responses during induction or challenge, and the investigators concluded that the lipstick did not have allergic sensitization potential (CTFA 1998b).

The contact sensitization potential of a concealer containing 5.0% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was determined using a maximization test. Patches were applied to the outer arm throughout three phases: pretesting, induction, and challenge. In the pretesting phase, approximately 0.1 g of the material was applied to a skin site under a 15-mm Webril patch, which was fixed to the skin with occlusive tape (Blenderm) and covered with Scanpor tape. The patch was removed after 48 hours and the skin site was examined for signs of irritation. During the induction phase, $\sim\!\!0.1\,\mathrm{ml}$ of aqueous sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS,

1%) was applied to a different site and similarly covered for 24 hours. After removal of the SLS patch, 0.1 g of the test material was applied to the same site and covered with an occlusive patch. This patch was removed after 48 or 72 hours, when the site was examined for irritation. This procedure was repeated for a total of five induction exposures. If irritation developed during induction, the 24-hour SLS patch was eliminated and only the test material was administered, after a 24-hour period, during which no patch was applied. After a 10-day nontreatment period, a new skin site on the opposite arm was treated with 0.1 ml of 10.0% SLS under an occlusive patch. The site was then challenged with a single application of the test material, and this patch was removed after 48 hours. The treatment site was examined for irritation 1 and 24 hours after patch removal. None of the 27 subjects had adverse reactions, and no contact sensitization was observed (Ivy Laboratories 1991).

A clinical use study was performed using the same lipstick and 62 female subjects. The women applied the lipstick at least twice daily for 3 weeks. No clinical changes were observed after use of the lipstick (CTFA 1998b).

Related Ingredients

Octyl Dodecanol at a concentration of 100% caused mild irritation in 1 of 40 subjects during a 24-hour single-insult patch test; in a similar test, a moisturizing cream (4.0%) was nonirritating or minimally irritating. Occlusive patches containing 0.05 g (undiluted) Octyl Dodecanol were affixed to the backs of 50 adult males. The patches were removed at 48 hours and the treated sites were evaluated 30 minutes later and at 72 to 120 hours. No signs of irritation were observed. When 3% Octyl Dodecanol was patch tested daily for 21 consecutive days, the alcohol was "essentially nonirritating" or "slightly irritating."

No signs of sensitization were observed when 3% to 10.2% Octyl Dodecanol was tested in a Draize-Shelanski repeat-insult patch test. In other studies, no signs of phototoxicity or photosensitization were observed when a lipstick containing 10.2% Octyl Dodecanol was tested using 23 subjects (Elder 1985a).

Stearic Acid was nonirritating in clinical primary or cumulative irritation studies at concentrations of 100% or 40% to 50% in mineral oil. Cosmetic formulations containing up to 93% Stearic Acid and other fatty acids caused mild to intense erythema, but the reactions were not considered related to the fatty acid content of the products. Stearic Acid at concentrations up to 13% was not a sensitizer, and formulations containing 1% to 13% Stearic Acid were not photosensitizing (Elder 1987).

A suntan lotion and protective facial cream containing 7.6% Octyl Stearate were applied to the skin of 56 subjects daily under 24-hour closed patches for a total of 10 induction applications; after a 10- to 14-day nontreatment period, a 24-hour challenge patch was applied. No signs of irritation or sensitization were observed. In a phototoxicity study using the same formulations (10 subjects), no significant reactions were noted. In a photosensitization study on the same formulations (27 subjects), slight reactions were observed in 4 subjects during induction. One had

erythema at challenge, and three subjects only reacted during induction. The investigator concluded that the formulations did not produce photosensitization (Elder 1985b).

SUMMARY

Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate is an ester that functions as a skin-conditioning agent and viscosity-increasing agent in cosmetic products. In 1998, it was reported used in 86 cosmetic formulations. Data submitted by industry indicated that Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate historically was used at concentrations in the 10% to 25% range, but in current data the maximum concentration is in the 5% to 23% range.

Little acute toxicity was reported in animal tests of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, Stearic Acid, and Octyl Stearate.

A formulation having 20.6% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was classified as minimally to mildly irritating in an in vitro ocular irritation assay. Rabbits treated three times with a formulation having 7.5% of the ingredient had moderate irritation. Formulations having 7.8%, 10.0%, and 12.7% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were nonirritating to mildly irritating in the Draize ocular irritation test.

An eyeshadow having 7.5% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate was moderately irritating to the skin of rabbits, with a PII of 3.89/8. In two primary irritation studies and a 4-day cumulative irritation study, however, formulations having 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were nonirritating to minimally irritating, with PIIs of 0 to 0.67/8, and a single application of the ingredient at 10% produced no irritation.

In clinical single-insult patch tests using up to 20 subjects, formulations having 5.0% to 15.0% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were nonirritating to mild (PIIs 0 to 0.08/8). In a cumulative irritation study, a formulation having 10.4% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate caused mild irritation when tested using 10 subjects. Formulations having 5.0% to 10.4% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were nonsensitizing in two repeat-insult patch tests using 27 to 85 panelists and a maximization test using 107 subjects. No adverse effects were observed in 62 women who took part in a 3-week in-use study of a formulation having 7.8% Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate.

As a further basis for the assessment of safety of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate, data on related ingredients (Octyl Dodecanol, Stearic Acid, and Octyl Stearate) were included in this review.

Related Ingredients

Fatty acids are digested from the diet and esterified to glycerol. Stearic Acid is the most poorly absorbed of the common fatty acids. Free fatty acids readily cross the placental barrier and are stored in the tissues or oxidized for energy.

Undiluted Octyl Dodecanol was nontoxic during acute oral and dermal studies using rats and guinea pigs. Stearic Acid was nontoxic during acute oral studies using rats, but caused toxicity during subchronic studies. Rabbits treated topically with the acid were not affected adversely, and mild erythema and slight induration were observed when Stearic Acid was administered intradermally to guinea pigs and rabbits. Octyl Stearate had very low acute oral toxicity in rats and mice.

Octyl Dodecanol caused no to minimal ocular irritation in rabbits when administered at concentrations up to 100%. Octyl Dodecanol (100%) caused primary skin irritation scores of 0/4 to 1.13/4 in studies using rabbits, and a concentration of 30% was nonirritating. Technical grade Octyl Dodecanol, however, caused moderate to severe irritation in the skin of rabbits, guinea pigs, and rats, but was nonirritating in the skin of miniature swine. A formulation containing 4% Octyl Dodecanol was not to mildly irritating in the skin of rabbits. Stearic Acid was not to moderately irritating in studies using rabbits and rats, and did not cause photosensitization in guinea pigs. In studies using rabbits, undiluted Octyl Stearate caused slight, transient ocular irritation and, at most, minimal to moderate skin irritation.

Stearic Acid did not induce mitotic crossovers and aneuploidy, and was nonmutagenic in the Ames test. In an MPCE
genotoxicity assay Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate produced no
significant increases in the proportion of MPCE in the test
groups compared to the concurrent negative-control groups. In
a Salmonella typhimurium gene mutation assay Octyldodecyl
Stearoyl Stearate did not induce any positive increase in the
number of revertant colonies for any of the tester strains with
or without S-9 activation. In a feeding study using mice, Stearic
Acid was noncarcinogenic at doses up to 50 g/kg/day. Mice given
SC injections of the acid had low incidences of carcinomas, sarcomas, and lymphomas.

In clinical studies, concentrations of up to 100% Octyl Dodecanol were not to mildly irritating, nonsensitizing, nonphototoxic, and nonphotosensitizing. Stearic Acid was nonirritating at concentrations up to 100%, and at concentrations up to 13% it was nonsensitizing and nonphotosensitizing. Octyl Stearate (7.6%) in formulation was nonirritating, nonsensitizing, and nonphotosensitizing.

DISCUSSION

Section 1, paragraph (p) of the Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) Procedures states that "a lack of information about an ingredient shall not be sufficient to justify a determination of safety." In accordance with Section 30(j)(2)(A) of the Procedures, the Expert Panel informed the public of its decision that the data on Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate were not sufficient for determining whether the ingredients, under relevant conditions of use, were either safe or unsafe.

In response to specific requests for data, current concentration of use, dermal irritation and sensitization, and ocular toxicity data were received. In addition, genotoxicity, skin irritation/sensitization, ocular irritation, animal toxicity, cosmetic use, and chemical and physical properties data were provided. These data support the absence of any significant acute or chronic toxicity associated with this ingredient, and demonstrate that skin irritation or sensitization is unlikely. The CIR Expert Panel reviewed the two genotoxicity studies, one micronucle-

ated polychromatic erythrocyte assay and one Ames assay, and concluded that these data support the absence of a carcinogenesis risk. The Panel, however, did not find data that described or even predicted the skin penetration of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. Absent such data, the Panel concluded that the following additional data are needed:

- 1. Chemical properties, including the octanol/water partition coefficient
- 2. If there is significant dermal absorbtion or if significant quantities of the ingredient may contact mucous membranes or be ingested, reproductive and developmental toxicity data may be needed.

In accordance with Section 45 of the CIR Procedures, the Expert Panel has issued a Final Safety Evaluation Report—Insufficient Data. When the requested new data are available, the Panel will reconsider the Final Report in accordance with Section 46 of the CIR Procedures, Amendment of a Final Report.

CONCLUSION

The CIR Expert Panel concludes that the available data are insufficient to support the safety of Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate for use in cosmetic products.

REFERENCES

Alzo, Inc. 1998. Technical bulletin. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-23-98. (5 pages.)²

Consumer Product Testing. 1978. Primary dermal and ocular irritation (rabbits):
Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 9-3-98. (11 pages.)²

Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association (CTFA). 1998a. Concentration of use. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 1-21-98. (2 pages.)²

CTFA. 1998b. Ocular irritation, primary and cumulative skin irritation, sensitization, and clinical in-use data. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 6-26-98. (27 pages.)²

CTFA. 1998c. Concentration of use data on Octyldodecy1 Stearoyl Stearate. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-18-98. (1 page.)²

CTFA. 1999. Concentration of use data on Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 2/10/99. (2 pages.)²

Elder, R. L. 1985a. Final report on the safety assessment of stearyl alcohol, oleyl alcohol, and octyl dodecanol. *J. Am. Coll. Toxicol.* 4:1–29.

Elder, R. L. 1985b. Final report on the safety assessment of butyl stearate, cetyl stearate, isobutyl stearate, isocetyl stearate, isopropyl stearate, myristyl stearate, and octyl stearate. *J. Am. Coll. Toxicol.* 4:107–146.

Elder, R. L. 1987. Final report on the safety assessment of oleic acid, lauric acid, palmitic acid, myristic acid, and stearic acid. *J. Am. Coll. Toxicol.* 6:321–401

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). 1984. Concentration of use of cosmetic ingredients. *FDA database*. Washington, DC: FDA.

FDA. 1998. Frequency of use of cosmetic ingredients. *FDA database*. Washington, DC: FDA.

Food and Drug Research Labs, Inc. 1983. Acute oral toxicity study in rats: Octyldodecyl Stearoyl Stearate. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 9-3-98. (12 pages.)²

Hill Top Research, Inc. 1983a. Report of a human skin test of cumulative irritation. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 6-26-98. (10 pages.)²

²Available for review: Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1101 17th Street, NW, Suite 310, Washington, DC 20036, USA.

- Hill Top Research, Inc. 1983b. Repeated insult patch test. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 6-26-98. (13 pages.)²
- Japan Ministry of Health and Welfare. 2000. Pharmaceutical and Medical Safety Bureau Notification No. 990. Partial amendments to the enforcement regulations of the Pharmaceutical Affairs Law pertaining to the relaxation of regulations for cosmetics. September 29, 2000. Unofficial translation from Japanese.
- International Specialty Products. 1998. MSDS. Unpublished data submitted to CTFA, 11-23-98. (3 pages.)²
- ISP Van Dyke, Inc. 1997. Technical Information Sheet for Ceraphyl 847. Unpublished data submitted to CTFA, 9-3-98. (1 page.)²
- Ivy Laboratories (KGL Inc.). 1991. Final report on the determination of the contact-sensitizing potential of concealer (07C) 97750-01 containing 5.0% octyldodecyl stearoyl stearate (RI1095) by means of the maximization test. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 6-26-98. (12 pages.)²

- Santucci, L. G., ed. 1999. *List of Japanese cosmetic ingredients*, 4th ed., 83. Washington, DC: CTFA.
- Sitek Research Laboratories. 1994a. In Vivo test for chemical induction of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes in mouse bone marrow cells. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-30-98. (52 pages.)²
- Sitek Research Laboratories. 1994b. Evaluation of a test article in the *Salmonella typhimurium* plate incorporation mutation assay in the presence and absence of Aroclor-induced rat liver S-9 with a confirmatory study. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-30-98. (54 pages.)²
- Trivent Chemical Company, Inc. 1998. Technical bulletin. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-23-98. (5 pages.)²
- Wells Laboratories, Inc. 1993. Unpublished data submitted by CTFA, 11-23-98. (4 pages.)²
- Wenninger, J. A., R. C. Canterbery, and G. N. McEwen, Jr., eds. 2000. *International Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary and Handbook*, 8th ed., 921. Washington, DC: CTFA.