

# Squalane and Squalene

Monice Fiume\*, Wilma F. Bergfeld\*\*, Donald V. Belsito\*\*, Ronald A. Hill\*\*\*, Curtis D. Klaassen\*\*, Daniel C. Liebler\*\*\*, James G. Marks\*\*\*, Ronald C. Shank\*\*\*, Thomas J. Slaga\*\*, Paul W. Snyder\*\*, and Bart Heldreth<sup>†</sup>

International Journal of Toxicology 2023, Vol. 42(Supplement 3) 107S–109S © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10915818231204276 journals.sagepub.com/home/jit

**S** Sage

#### **Abstract**

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety reviewed newly available studies since their original assessment in 1982, along with updated information regarding product types and concentrations of use, and confirmed that Squalane and Squalene are safe as cosmetic ingredients in the practices of use and concentration as described in this report.

## **Keywords**

Cosmetics, Safety, Squalane, Squalene

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety (Panel) first published the Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Squalane and Squalene in 1982. The Panel concluded that "both Squalane and Squalene are safe as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use and concentration," as described in that report. In 2001, after considering new studies and updated use data on these two ingredients, the Panel determined to not re-open the safety assessment.<sup>2</sup> Data identified in the published literature<sup>3–13</sup> that have become available since the 2001 re-review was issued support the conclusion reached by the Panel in the original review. The Panel also reviewed updated information regarding product types and ingredient use frequencies as reported in the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP) database, 14 and the maximum use concentrations provided by the Personal Care Products Council. 15 The Panel determined to not re-open this safety assessment and reaffirmed the original conclusion that Squalane and Squalene are safe as cosmetic ingredients in the present practices of use and concentration, as given in Table 1.

The reported frequency of use has increased significantly for both ingredients since the initial re-review was considered. According to VCRP data, Squalane and Squalene were reported to be used in 595 and 29 formulations, respectively, in 2001. In 2019, the VCRP indicates that Squalane is used in 2785 formulations, and Squalene is used in 527 formulations. For Squalane, the current maximum concentration of use (96.8%) is the same as that reported in 2001 (97%); however, the maximum concentrations of use by exposure type (e.g., eye area and nails) have increased for some categories. The opposite is true for Squalene; the maximum

concentration of use has decreased since the previous rereview. In 2001, Squalene was used at up to 10%, data received in 2018 report that the maximum concentration of use is 1.2%. <sup>15</sup>

Squalane and Squalene are natural components of human sebum. Although new studies indicated there could be sensitization potential, there is no significant clinical evidence of sensitization. The Panel stated the lack of case reports, in spite of the increased frequency of use, and the Panel's clinical experience with these ingredients support the safety of these ingredients for use in cosmetics.

## **Author's Note**

Unpublished sources cited in this report are available from the Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1620 L Street, NW, Suite 1200, Washington, DC 20036, USA.

## **Author Contributions**

The articles in this supplement were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review.

## **Corresponding Author:**

Bart Heldreth, Executive Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1620 L Street, NW, Suite 1200, Washington, DC 20036, USA. Email: cirinfo@cir-safety.org

<sup>\*</sup>Cosmetic Ingredient Review Senior Director

<sup>\*\*</sup>Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Member

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Former Member

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Cosmetic Ingredient Review Executive Director

Table 1. Current and Historical Frequency and Concentration of Use of Squalane and Squalene According to Duration and Exposure.

	# of Uses		Max Conc of Use (%)	
	2019 <sup>14</sup>	2001 <sup>2</sup>	2018 <sup>15</sup>	2001 <sup>2</sup>
	Squalane			
Totals <sup>a</sup>	2785	595	.0001-96.8	.01-97
Duration of use				
Leave-on	2608	541	.0001-96.8	.01-97
Rinse-off	171	54	.0001-34.9	.1-5
Diluted for (bath) use	6	NR	.14	NR
Exposure type				
Eye area	366	42	.0001-38	.01-15
Incidental ingestion	253	52	.001-22.8	3-17
Incidental inhalation-spray	Spray: 12 Possible: 772 <sup>b</sup> ; 656 <sup>c</sup>	Spray: 12 Possible: 170 <sup>b</sup> ; 68 <sup>c</sup>	Spray: .04815 Possible: .005-12 <sup>b</sup>	Possible: .3-36 <sup>b</sup> .1-97 <sup>c</sup>
Incidental inhalation-powder	Powder: 107 Possible: 656 <sup>c</sup> ; 11 <sup>d</sup>	Powder: 28 Possible: 68°; 2 <sup>d</sup>	Powder: I-3.4 Possible: .01-40.1	Powder: 3-9 Possible: .1-97°
Dermal contact	2447	510	.0001-85.4	.1-97
Deodorant (underarm)	3 <sup>b</sup>	NR	.18-4	NR
Hair – non-coloring	69	17	.001-2.3	.8-5
Hair-coloring	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nail	4	6	.0001-96.8	NR
Mucous membrane	277	63	.001-22.8	.1-17
Baby products	11	2	.03-2	NR
	Squalene			
Totals <sup>a</sup>	527	29	.004-1.2	.01-10
Duration of use				
Leave-on	300	26	.00457	.02-10
Rinse-off	215	2	.004-1.2	.015
Diluted for (bath) use	12	1	NR	.2
Exposure type				
Eye area	19	NR	.004607	.57
Incidental ingestion	71	NR	.004509	.7
Incidental inhalation-spray	Spray: I Possible: 102 <sup>b</sup> ; 67 <sup>c</sup>	Possible: 9 <sup>b</sup> ; 13 <sup>c</sup>	Possible: .07 <sup>b</sup>	Possible: .065 <sup>b</sup> .085 <sup>c</sup>
Incidental inhalation-powder	Powder: 2 Possible: 67 <sup>c</sup> ; 2 <sup>b</sup>	Possible: 13 <sup>c</sup>	Possible: .057	Powder: 10 Possible: .085°
Dermal contact	453	29	.0047	.02-10
Deodorant (underarm)	NR	NR	.06	NR
Hair – non-coloring	3	NR	.07-1.2	.01
Hair-coloring	NR	NR	0.2	NR
Nail	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mucous membrane	288	1	.00409	.27
Baby products	2	NR	NR	NR

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Because each ingredient may be used in cosmetics with multiple exposure types, the sum of all exposure types may not equal the sum of total uses. <sup>b</sup>It is possible these products are sprays, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are sprays.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Not specified whether a spray or a powder, but it is possible the use can be as a spray or a powder; therefore, the information is captured in both categories. <sup>d</sup>It is possible these products are powders, but it is not specified whether the reported uses are powders.

NR, not reported.

Fiume et al. 109S

## **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The articles in this supplement were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review.

## **Funding**

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The articles in this supplement were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review. The Cosmetic Ingredient Review is financially supported by the Personal Care Products Council.

### References

- 1. Elder RL, ed. Final report on the safey assessment of squalane and squalene. *J Am Coll Toxicol*. 1982;1(2):37-56.
- Andersen FA, ed. Squalene and squalane. Int J Toxicol. 2003;22: 27-31.
- 3. Chiba K, Yoshizawa K, Makino I, Kawakami K, Onoue M. Comedogenicity of squalene monohydroperoxide in the skin after topical application. *J Toxicol Sci.* 2000;25(2):77-83.
- Filipovic M, Gledovic A, Lukic M, et al. Alp Rose stem cells, olive oil squalene and a natural alkyl polyglucoside emulsifier: are they appropriate ingredients of skin moisturizers - in vivo efficacy on normal and sodium lauryl sulfate - irritated skin? Vojnosanit Pregl. 2016;73(11):991-1002.
- Kreiling R, Hollnagel HM, Hareng L, et al. Comparison of the skin sensitizing potential of unsaturated compounds as assessed by the murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) and the Guinea pig maximization test (GPMT). Food Chem Toxicol. 2008;46(6):1896-1904.
- 6. McPhee D, Pin A, Kizer L, Perelman L. Deriving renewable squalane from sugarcane. *Cosemtics & Toiletries Magazine*. 2014;129(6).
- 7. Mi T, Dong Y, Santhanam U, Huang N. Niacinamide and 12-hydroxystearic acid prevented benzo(a)pyrene and squalene

- peroxides induced hyperpigmentation in skin equivalent. *Exp Dermatol*. 2019;28(6):742-746.
- Narayan BH, Tatewaki N, Giridharan VV, Nishida H, Konishi T. Modulation of doxorubicin-induced genotoxicity by squalene in Balb/c mice. *Food Funct*. 2010;1(2):174-179.
- 9. Nikitakis J, Kowcz A. Web-Based International Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary and Handbook. Washington, DC: Personal Care Products Council. http://webdictionary.personalcarecouncil.org/jsp/Home.jsp. Last Updated: 2019. Accessed: August 02, 2019.
- Rao CV, Newmark HL, Reddy BS. Chemopreventive effect of squalene on colon cancer. *Carcinogenesis*. 1998;19(2):287-290.
- 11. Ryu A, Arakane K, Koide C, Arai H, Nagano T. Squalene as a target molecule in skin hyperpigmentation caused by singlet oxygen. *Biol Pharm Bull.* 2009;32(9):1504-1509.
- 12. Smith TJ, Yang GY, Seril DN, Liao J, Kim S. Inhibition of 4- (methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanone-induced lung tumorigenesis by dietary olive oil and squalene. *Carcinogenesis*. 1998;19(4):703-706.
- 13. Yuzbasioglu D, Unal F, Koc F, et al. Genotoxicity assessment of vaccine adjuvant squalene. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2013;56: 240-246.
- 14. US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN). Voluntary cosmetic registration program (VCRP) - frequency of use of cosmetic ingredients. College Park, MD: US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN); 2019 (obtained under the freedom of information act from CFSAN; requested as "frequency of use data" January 3 2019; received February 13, 2019).
- Personal Care Products Council. Council concentration of use by FDA product category: squalane and squalene. Washington, DC: Personal Care Products Council; 2018. (Unpublished data submitted by the Personal Care Products Council on June 1, 2018).