

Glyoxal

Lillian C. Becker*, Wilma F. Bergfeld**, Donald V. Belsito**, Ronald A. Hill***, Curtis D. Klaassen**, Daniel C. Liebler***, James G. Marks***, Ronald C. Shank***, Thomas J. Slaga**, Paul W. Snyder**, Monice Fiume[†], and Bart Heldreth[‡]

International Journal of Toxicology 2023, Vol. 42(Supplement 3) 475–48S © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10915818231204261 journals.sagepub.com/home/ijt



Abstract

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety reviewed information that has become available since their year 2000 assessment, along with updated information regarding product types, and frequency and concentrations of use, and reaffirmed their original conclusion that Glyoxal is safe for use in products intended to be applied to the nail at concentrations $\leq 1.25\%$ and that the available data are insufficient to support the safety for other uses.

Keywords

Cosmetics, Safety, Glyoxal

The Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety first published a Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Glyoxal (CAS No. 107-22-2) in 1995; in that report, the Expert Panel stated that the data were insufficient to support the safety of Glyoxal. The data needs were:

- (1) Types of cosmetic products Glyoxal is used in and the typical concentrations of use for each of these products.
- (2) Impurities, especially with respect to selenium and chlorinated organic compounds and the Glyoxal monomer.
- (3) Dermal carcinogenesis using the methods of the National Toxicology Program's skin-painting studies. It is recognized that there are no reproductive or developmental toxicity data available to analyze—depending on the results of the studies described, additional data may be requested.

In an amended safety assessment published in 2000, the Expert Panel reviewed additional information, including dermal carcinogenicity in mice and impurity data, and concluded that Glyoxal is safe for use in products intended to be applied to the nail at concentrations $\leq 1.25\%$. They also concluded that the available data are insufficient to support the safety for other uses.

The Expert Panel has now reviewed information that has become available since the year 2000 assessment, along with updated information regarding product types, and frequency and concentrations of use. ³⁻¹⁵ The Expert Panel determined to not reopen this safety assessment and reaffirmed the

conclusion published in 2000 that Glyoxal is safe for use in products intended to be applied to the nail at concentrations $\leq 1.25\%$ and that the available data are insufficient to support the safety for other uses.

In 1998, there were no uses reported for Glyoxal.² There were no reported concentrations of use in in 1998 or 2016.^{2,7} However, in 2017, Glyoxal was reported to be used in 2 formulations, 1 basecoats and undercoats and 1 face and neck product.⁵ The Expert Panel notes that the data do not support the safety of the latter reported use.

The Expert Panel noted that suppliers should take steps to limit the concentration of the free formalin impurity to .2% (.074% (w/w) calculated as formaldehyde or .118% (w/w) calculated as methylene glycol), which is consistent with the 2013 safety assessment of Formaldehyde and Methylene Glycol. ¹⁶

Author's Note

Unpublished sources cited in this report are available from the Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1620 L Street, NW, Suite 1200, Washington, DC 20036, USA.

*Cosmetic Ingredient Review Former Scientific Analyst/Writer

Corresponding Author:

Bart Heldreth, Executive Director, Cosmetic Ingredient Review, 1620 L Street, NW, Suite 1200, Washington, DC 20036, USA. Email: cirinfo@cir-safety.org

^{**}Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Member

^{***}Expert Panel for Cosmetic Ingredient Safety Former Member

[†]Cosmetic Ingredient Review Senior Director

[‡]Cosmetic Ingredient Review Executive Director

Author Contributions

The articles in this supplement were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The articles in this supplement were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review. The Cosmetic Ingredient Review is financially supported by the Personal Care Products Council.

References

- Andersen FA. Final report on the safety assessment of Glyoxal. J Am Coll Toxicol. 1995;14(5):348-363.
- 2. Andersen FA. Amended final report on the safety of glyoxal. *Int J Toxicol*. 2000;19(Suppl. 1):13-27.
- European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). Glyoxal. European Chemicals Agency (ECHA). 2017. https://echa.europa.eu/ registration-dossier/-/registered-dossier/16112/1.
- European Commission. CosIng. Substance: glyoxal. CosIng Database. 2013. http://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/ cosing/index.cfm?fuseaction=search.details_v2&id= 87720Date. Accessed March 17, 2017.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Frequency of use of cosmetic ingredients. In FDA Database. Washington, DC: FDA; 2017
- National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS). Human health tier II assessment for ethanedial; CAS number: 107-22-2. 2017. National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (NICNAS). https://www.nicnas.gov.au/chemical-information/imapassessments/imap-assessment-details?assessment_id=73#cas-A_107-22-2Date. Accessed May 1, 2017

- Personal Care Products Council. 2016. Concentration of use: glyoxal. Unpublished data submitted by Personal Care Products Council.
- Scientific Committee on Consumer Products (SCCP). Opinion on glyoxal. European Commission; Health & Consumer Protection Directorate-General. 2005:1-67. https://ec.europa.eu/ health/ph_risk/committees/04_sccp/docs/sccp_o_023.pdf. Report No. SCCP/0881/05.
- World Health Organization (WHO). Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 57: Glyoxal. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004:1-49. http://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/10665/42867/1/924153057X.pdf.
- Bulat F, Toro-Labbé A. A theoretical study of the rotational isomerization of glyoxal and halogen derivatives. *Chem Phys Lett.* 2002;354:508-517.
- Sady C, Jiang C, Chellan P, et al. Maillard reactions by alphaoxoaldehydes: detection of glyoxal-modified proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2000;1481:255-264.
- Svendsen C, Høie A, Alexander J, Murkovic M, Husøy T. The food processing contaminant glyoxal promotes tumour growth in the multiple intestinal neoplasia (Min) mouse model. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2016;94:197-202.
- 13. Thornalley PJ. Advances in glyoxalase research. Glyoxalase expression in malignancy, anti-proliferative effects of methylglyoxal, glyoxalase I inhibitor diesters, and S-D-lactoylglutathione, and methylglyoxal-modified protein binding and endocytosis by advanced glycation endproduction receptor. Crit Rev Oncol-Hematol. 1995;20(1-2):99-128.
- Thornalley PJ. Gluthathione-dependent detoxification of alphaoxoaldehydes by the glyoxalase system: involvement in disease mechanisms and antiproliferative activity of glyoxalase I inhibitors. Chem Biol Interact. 1998;111-112:137-151.
- Thornalley PJ, Yurek-George A, Argirov O. Kinetics and mechanism of the reaction of aminoguanidine with the *alpha*-ox-oaldehydes glyoxal, methylglyoxal, and 3-deoxyglyucosone under physiological conditions. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2000;60(1):55-65.
- Boyer IJ, Heldreth B, Bergfeld W, et al. Amended safety assessment of Formaldehyde and Methylene Glycol as used in cosmetics. *Int J Toxicol*. 2013;32(Suppl. 4):5S-32S.